

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

CELERINO CARRASCO,  
Plaintiff,

v.

CORRECTIONAL SERVICE  
CORPORATION, *et al.*,  
Defendants.

Case No. C06-5084RJB

REPORT AND  
RECOMMENDATION

Noted for December 22, 2006

This matter is before the court on plaintiff's failure to submit a response to the court's order to show cause. After carefully reviewing the record, the undersigned recommends that the case be dismissed for lack of prosecution.

The complaint in this matter was submitted on or about January 30, 2006. Plaintiff submitted an application to proceed in forma pauperis a few days later, on February 9, 2006. At that time Plaintiff was in custody of the Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE") agency at the Northwest Detention Center in Tacoma. The record reflects that Plaintiff not been in custody of the ICE since February 28, 2006, when he was deported to Mexico. Based on his release, Plaintiff filed an updated application to proceed in forma pauperis as directed by the court, and the court granted the application on July 20, 2006. On the same date, Plaintiff submitted an Amended Complaint to the clerk of the court. According to Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, plaintiff was required to properly serve defendants with a copy of the original complaint and amended complaint along with a summons and file the required proof of

1 service by not later than November 21, 2006.

2 On October 30, 2006, the undersigned reviewed the record and noted defendants had not filed an  
3 answer to Complaint. On further review the court found plaintiff had not filed proof of service of the  
4 original complaint or summonses. Accordingly, it appeared plaintiff had not properly served the complaint  
5 in this matter. The court directed Plaintiff to file proof of service or show cause why this matter should not  
6 be dismissed for lack of prosecution by not later than November 22, 2006. The court has not received the  
7 required proof of service, or any other response or pleadings from plaintiff in this matter.

8 Based on the court record and the facts described above, the Court should dismiss Plaintiff's causes  
9 of action without prejudice for failure to prosecute. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Rule 72(b) of  
10 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the parties shall have ten (10) days from service of this Report to file  
11 written objections. *See also* Fed.R.Civ.P. 6. Failure to file objections will result in a waiver of those  
12 objections for purposes of appeal. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Accommodating the time limit  
13 imposed by Rule 72(b), the clerk is directed to set the matter for consideration on **December 22, 2006**, as  
14 noted in the caption.

15 DATED this 30th day of November, 2006.

16  
17 /s/ J. Kelley Arnold

18 J. Kelley Arnold  
19 United States Magistrate Judge  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28